REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request further examination and reconsideration in view of the instant response. Claims 1, 9 and 19 have been amended herein.

Claims 1-23 remain pending in the case. No new matter has been added as a result of these amendments.

CLAIM REJECTIONS 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1, 3-9, 11-16, 18 and 23 are rejected under U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by US patent no. 6,198,696 issued to Korpi et al. (hereafter referred to as Korpi). Applicants have reviewed the cited reference and respectfully submit that the embodiments of the present invention as recited in Claims 1, 3-9, 11-16, 18 and 23 are not anticipated or suggested by Korpi for the following rational.

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to amended Claim 1, which recites that an embodiment of the present invention is directed to (emphasis added):

A method of management of time zone information in a calendar application comprising:

storing an event, said event comprising a start time and an end time based on a first time zone;

establishing a display time zone wherein said display time zone is user customizable and independent of events associated with said calendar application;

Serial No: 09/940,321 Art Unit: 2171 Examiner: Leroux, Etienne Pierre PALM-3689.PSI

translating the start time and the end time from said first time zone to the display time zone to produce a translated start time and

end time: and

displaying the event as occurring at the translated start time and

end time.

Claim 1 has been amended such that the display time is independent of

events associated with the calendar application. Independent Claim 9 and

independent Claim 19 recite similar limitations. Claims 3-8 that depend from

independent Claim 1, Claims 10-16 and 18 that depend on independent Claim 9,

and Claim 23 that depends on independent Claim 19 provide further recitations of

the features of the present invention.

Korpi and the claimed invention are very different. Applicants understand

Korpi to teach a method for tracking time zone changes in communications

devices wherein the time-of-day-clock is dynamically adjusted in accordance with

a travel schedule (column 2, lines 26-29). Korpi purports to teach that the

reference time (time-of-day-clock) is based upon anticipated travel of the device

(Column 5 lines 18-20), which teaches away from the present invention.

Korpi admits the dynamic adjustment of the time-of-day clock will lead to a

display of inaccurate time information if a user postpones a trip without updating

the information in the itinerary of the memory (Column 5 lines 26-30). Dynamic

adjustment of the reference clock teaches away from the claimed limitations of

Serial No: 09/940,321

Examiner: Leroux. Etienne Pierre

Art Unit: 2171

PALM-3689.PSI

the present invention because the reference time of the present invention is independent of events associated with the calendar application, as claimed.

Korpi associates the reference time with an anticipated trip event, e.g., events associated with the calendar application (Column 5 lines 38-39), however, the claimed embodiments of the present invention do not associate the reference time with an anticipated event. Specifically, independent Claims 1 and 9 recite the limitation "wherein said display time zone is user customizable and independent of events associated with said calendar application." Making the reference time dependent on planned events, as taught by Korpi, actually teaches away from the claimed limitations of the present invention because with Korpi, the dynamic adjustment of the time-of-day clock will lead to a display of inaccurate time information if a user postpones a trip without updating the events associated with the calendar application.

With Korpi, if a user inadvertently forgets to modify the travel schedule, the displayed time for planned events will be inaccurate. Specifically, Korpi's reference time is <u>dependent</u> on events associated with the calendar application, which is the <u>opposite</u> of the claimed embodiments of the invention wherein the reference time is <u>independent</u> of the events associated with the calendar application. The claimed limitations of the present invention use a display time zone that is independent of the planned events, as claimed. Thus, the claimed

Serial No: 09/940,321 Art Unit: 2171

Examiner: Leroux, Etienne Pierre PALM-3689.PSI

embodiments of the present invention will not display inaccurate time information

if a user postpones a trip because the display time is independent of anticipated

events in the calendar.

Applicants respectfully assert that nowhere does Korpi teach, disclose or

suggest the present invention as recited in independent Claims 1 and 9, and that

these Claims are thus in a condition for allowance. Therefore, Applicants

respectfully submit that Korpi does not teach or suggest the additional claimed

limitations of the present invention as recited in Claims 3-8, which depend from

independent Claim 1, Claims 10-16 and 18, which depend from independent

Claim 9 and Claim 23. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 1, 3-

9, 11-16, 18 and 23 overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), and are in

a condition for allowance as being dependent on an allowable base claim.

35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 2 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Korpi in view of Pub No US 2003/0009411 issued to Ram et al

(hereafter referred to as Ram). Applicants have reviewed the cited reference and

respectfully submit that the present invention as recited in Claims 2 and 10 is

patentable over Korpi in view of Ram for the following rational.

Serial No: 09/940,321

Examiner: Leroux, Etienne Pierre

Art Unit: 2171

PALM-3689.PSI

As stated above, Korpi and the claimed invention are very different. Korpi

actually teaches away from the claimed invention by associating the reference

time with an anticipated travel event, e.g., the reference time is dependent on

events associated with the calendar application which is opposite of the

reference time being independent of events associated with the calendar

application, as claimed. Ram does not remedy the deficiencies in Korpi. In fact,

Ram teaches transforming NASDAQ Level II data for any selected security, at

any instant in time, into a format suitable for display on the grid-based graphical

display (paragraph 0269). Applicants understand "grid-based" to refer to a grid-

based computing environment (Abstract) and not to a daily time grid, as claimed.

Applicants respectfully assert that nowhere does the combination of Korpi

and Ram teach, disclose or suggest the present invention as recited in Claims 2,

or 10 and that these claims are thus in a condition for allowance.

Claims 17 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Korpi in view of US Pat No 6, 631, 402 issued to Devine et al

(hereafter referred to as Devine). Applicants have reviewed the cited reference

and respectfully submit that the claimed embodiments of the present invention as

recited in Claims 17 and 19-22 are patentable over Korpi in view of Devine.

Serial No: 09/940,321

Examiner: Leroux, Etienne Pierre

Art Unit: 2171

PALM-3689.PSI

As stated above, Korpi and the claimed invention are very different. Korpi

actually teaches away from the claimed invention by associating the reference

time with an anticipated travel event. Devine does not remedy the deficiencies in

Korpi. Devine may purport to teach selection of a time zone by menu choice for

establishing a report schedule (column 18 lines 21-25), but Devine fails to teach

or suggest a reference time that is independent of events associated with a

calendar application, as claimed by the present invention. Furthermore, Devine

fails to teach or suggest translating a start time and an end time from a first time

zone to a display time zone to produce a translated start time and end time, as

claimed.

Applicants respectfully assert that nowhere does the combination of Korpi

and Devine teach, disclose or suggest the claimed embodiments of the present

invention as recited in Claims 17 and 19-22 and that these claims are thus in a

condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

In light of the above listed remarks, reconsideration of the amended Claims is

requested. Based on the arguments presented above, it is respectfully submitted

that Claims 1-23 overcome the rejections and objections of record and, therefore,

allowance of Claims 1-23 is earnestly solicited.

Serial No: 09/940,321

Examiner: Leroux, Etienne Pierre

Art Unit: 2171

PALM-3689.PSI

Should the Examiner have a question regarding the instant response, the Applicants invite the Examiner to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative at the below listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO L.L.P.

Dated: <u>62/16</u>, 2004

Anthony Murabito

Registration No. 35,295

Two North Market Street Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113 (408) 938-9060

Serial No: 09/940,321

Examiner: Leroux, Etienne Pierre

Art Unit: 2171 PALM-3689.PSI